# USDAW Staff Superannuation Fund Implementation Statement Year Ending 31 December 2023

# Glossary

ESG	Environmental, Social and Governance
Investment Adviser	First Actuarial LLP
LGIM	Legal & General Investment Management
Scheme	USDAW Staff Superannuation Fund
Scheme Year	1 January 2023 to 31 December 2023
SIP	Statement of Investment Principles
SSGA	State Street Global Advisors
UNPRI	United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment

## Introduction

This Implementation Statement reports on the extent to which, over the Scheme Year, the Trustees have followed their policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Scheme's investments. In addition, the Implementation Statement summarises the voting behaviour of the Scheme's investment managers and includes details of the most significant votes cast and the use of the services of proxy voting advisers.

In preparing this statement, the Trustees have considered guidance from the Department for Work & Pensions which was updated on 17 June 2022.

#### Relevant Investments

The Scheme's assets are invested in pooled funds and some of those funds include an allocation to equities. Where equities are held, the investment manager has the entitlement to vote.

At the end of the Scheme Year, the Scheme invested in the following funds which included an allocation to equities:

- LGIM World Equity Index Fund
- LGIM World Emerging Markets Equity Index Fund
- LGIM Future World Multi-Asset Fund
- Schroders Intermediated Diversified Growth Fund

# The Trustees' Policy Relating to the Exercise of Rights

#### Summary of the Policy

The Trustees' policy in relation to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments is set out in the SIP. The SIP was not updated during the Scheme year. However, the SIP has since been updated as of January 2024 to reflect changes made to the Scheme's investment strategy, but wording relating to the exercise of rights was not revised. A summary of this wording is as follows:

- The Trustees believe that good stewardship can help create, and preserve, value for companies and markets as a whole and the Trustees wish to encourage best practice in terms of stewardship.
- The Trustees invest in pooled investment vehicles and therefore accept that ongoing engagement with the underlying companies (including the exercise of voting rights) will be determined by the investment managers' own policies on such matters.
- When selecting a pooled fund, the Trustees consider, amongst other things, the
  investment manager's policy in relation to the exercise of the rights (including voting
  rights) attaching to the investments held within the pooled fund.
- When considering the ongoing suitability of an investment manager, the Trustees (in conjunction with their Investment Adviser) will take account of any particular characteristics of that manager's engagement policy that are deemed to be financially material.

- The Trustees will normally select investment managers who are signatories to the UNPRI.
- If it is identified that a fund's investment manager is not engaging with companies the Trustees may look to replace that fund. However, in the first instance, the Trustees would normally expect their Investment Adviser to raise the Trustees' concerns with the investment manager.

#### Has the Policy Been Followed During the Scheme Year?

The Trustees' opinion is that their policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments has been followed during the Scheme Year. In reaching this conclusion, the following points were taken into consideration:

- There has been no change to the Trustees' belief regarding the importance of good stewardship.
- The Scheme's invested assets remained invested in pooled funds over the period.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustees introduced an allocation to the Schroder Buy & Maintain Credit Funds and the SSGA All-Stocks Index-Linked Gilt Index fund. There was also an allocation to the CT Sterling Liquidity Fund too. The Trustees considered the ESG characteristics of the funds before selecting them but, because the funds do not include allocations to equities consideration of the exercise of voting rights was not relevant.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustees considered the voting records of the investment managers over the period ending 31 December 2022.
- Since the end of the Scheme Year, an updated analysis of the voting records of the investment managers based on the period ending 31 December 2023 has been undertaken as part of the work required to prepare this Implementation Statement. A summary of the key findings from that analysis is provided below.
- All the investment managers used by the Scheme is UNPRI signatories.

# The Investment Managers' Voting Records

A summary of the investment managers' voting records is shown in the table below.

		Split of votes:			
Investment Manager	Number of votes	For	Against / withheld	Did not vote/ abstained	
LGIM	130,000	76%	24%	0%	
Schroders	73,000	85%	12%	3%	

## **Notes**

These voting statistics are based on each manager's full voting record over the 12 months to 31 December 2023 rather than votes related solely to the funds held by the Scheme.

# **Use of Proxy Voting Advisers**

Investment Manager	Who is their proxy voting adviser?	How is the proxy voting adviser used?		
LGIM	ISS and IVIS	ISS and IVIS provide research and ISS administer votes. However, all voting is determined by guidelines set by LGIM.		
Schroders Glass Lewis		Proxy advisers provide research and administer votes but voting is determined by Schroders.		

## The Investment Managers' Voting Behaviour

The Trustees have reviewed the voting behaviour of the investment managers by considering the following:

- broad statistics of their voting records such as the percentage of votes cast for and against the recommendations of boards of directors (i.e. "with management" or "against management")
- the votes they cast in the year to 31 December 2023 on the most contested proposals in nine categories across the UK, the US and Europe
- the investment managers' policies and statements on the subjects of stewardship, corporate governance and voting.

The Trustees have also compared the voting behaviour of the investment managers with their peers over the same period.

Further details of the approach adopted by the Trustees for assessing voting behaviour are provided in the Appendix.

The Trustees' key observations are set out below.

#### Voting in Significant Votes

Based on information provided by the Trustees' Investment Adviser, the Trustees have identified significant votes in nine separate categories. The Trustees consider votes to be more significant if they are closely contested. i.e. close to a 50:50 split for and against. A closely contested vote indicates that shareholders considered the matter to be significant enough that it should not be simply "waved through". In addition, in such a situation, the vote of an individual investment manager is likely to be more important in the context of the overall result.

The five most significant votes in each of the nine categories based on shares held by the Scheme's investment managers are listed in the Appendix. In addition, the Trustees considered each investment manager's overall voting record in significant votes (i.e. votes across all stocks not just the stocks held within the funds used by the Scheme).

## Analysis of Voting Behaviour

#### **LGIM**

LGIM's willingness to vote against directors is consistent with the broad range of policies covered within its corporate governance documentation; each policy provides criteria which can justify a vote against directors.

LGIM has supported shareholder proposals designed to tackle ESG issues and has held directors to account regarding their energy transition proposals (proposals setting out how greenhouse gas emissions will be reduced).

LGIM have also invested in Tumelo; a technology provider aiming to allows investors in pooled funds the ability to cast their own votes at shareholder meetings. The Trustees Investment Adviser is currently exploring how this technology might enhance LGIM's stewardship offering and benefit the Scheme.

The Trustees have no concerns regarding LGIM's voting record.

#### Schroders

The Trustees have no concerns regarding Schroders' voting record.

The manager demonstrates that it is prepared to vote against management on a range of issues and opposes management in closely contested votes to a greater extent than many of its peers.

Schroders has also consistently been supportive of closely contested shareholder proposals brought to tackle a range of issues including climate change, gender inequality, diversity and human rights.

Schroders supports directors looking to transition companies to a low carbon economy but has opposed such plans in instances where Schroders does not feel company management is going far enough.

1.0	nc	$\sim$

Based on the analysis undertaken, the Trustees have no material concerns regarding the voting records of LGIM or Schroders. The Trustees are in the process of assessing whether PIRC could work in conjunction with LGIM on engagement to best implement the Trustees' views.

The Trustees will keep the voting actions of the investment managers under review.					

Signed: Date:

Signed on behalf of the Trustees of the USDAW Staff Superannuation Fund

# Significant Votes

The table below records how the Scheme's investment managers voted in the most significant votes identified by the Trustees.

Company	Meeting Date	Proposal	Votes For (%)	Votes Against (%)	LGIM	Schroders
Audit & Reporting						
SCOTTISH AMERICAN INVESTMENT COMPANY PLC	06/04/2023	Re-appoint Ernst & Young LLP as Independent Auditor of the Company	49	50	For	Not held
NETAPP INC	13/09/2023	Appoint the Auditors	40	60	Against	Against
TOPPS TILES PLC	18/01/2023	Appoint Mazars as the Auditors	63	37	For	Not held
VEOLIA ENVIRONNEMENT SA	27/04/2023	Appoint the Auditors	72	28	Against	For
INVESCO PERPETUAL UK SMALLER COMPANIES	08/06/2023	Re-appoint the auditor, Ernst & Young LLP	76	24	For	Not held
Shareholder Capital & Rights						
HAMMERSON PLC	04/05/2023	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	55	45	For	Not held
CITY OF LONDON INVESTMENT GROUP	23/10/2023	Issue Shares for Cash	55	44	For	Not held
		Issue Shares for Cash for the Purpose of Financing an Acquisition or Other Capital				
PLAYTECH PLC	24/05/2023	Investment	44	56	For	Not held
BLUEFIELD SOLAR INCOME FUND LIMITED	28/11/2023	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	42	58	Against	Not held
TOPPS TILES PLC	18/01/2023	Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights	63	37	For	Not held
Pay & Remuneration						
WARNER BROS DISCOVERY INC	08/05/2023	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	51	49	Against	Not held
WELLTOWER INC	23/05/2023	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	52	48	Against	Not held
BIOGEN INC.	26/06/2023	Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	52	48	Against	Not held
PEARSON PLC	28/04/2023	Approve Remuneration Policy	54	46	Against	For
VISTRY GROUP PLC	18/05/2023	Approve the Remuneration Report	51	46	Against	Against
Constitution of Company, Board & Advisers						
DOMINO'S PIZZA GROUP PLC	04/05/2023	Elect Edward Jamieson - Executive Director	50	50	For	For
SAMPO OYJ	17/05/2023	Amend Articles: Virtual Meetings	52	48	Against	Not held
SIMON PROPERTY GROUP INC.	04/05/2023	Elect Reuben S. Leibowitz - Non-Executive Director	53	46	Against	Against
CME GROUP INC.	04/05/2023	Elect Charles P. Carey - Non-Executive Director	55	45	Against	Not held
FLEETCOR TECHNOLOGIES INC	09/06/2023	Elect Thomas M. Hagerty	55	45	Against	Not held
Merger, Acquisition, Sales & Finance		Approve the Waiver of Rule 9				
FORESIGHT GROUP HOLDINGS LIMITED	10/08/2023	Approve the Waiver of Rule 9  Approve the Continuation of the Company	52	48	Against	Not held
EUROPEAN OPPORTUNITIES TRUST PLC	15/11/2023	Waiver of mandatory offer provisions set out in Rule 9 of the Takeover Code	59	36	For	Not held
CALEDONIA INVESTMENTS PLC	19/07/2023	Approve the Continuation of the Company	64 29	35	Against	Not held Not held
VINACAPITAL VIETNAM OPPORTUNITY FUND LTD	06/12/2023	Approve Issuance of Debt Securities Giving Access to New Shares of Subsidiaries	29	71	Against	Not neid
BOUYGUES SA	27/04/2023	7.	73	27	Against	Against
Climate Related Resolutions						
GLENCORE PLC	26/05/2023	Approve the Company's 2022 Climate Report.	68	30	Against	Not held
Shell plc	23/05/2023	Approve Shell's Energy Transition	77	19	Against	For
UBS GROUP AG	05/04/2023	Say on Climate	81	15	For	For
TOTALENERGIES SE	26/05/2023	Say on Climate	86	11	Against	For
PENNON GROUP PLC	20/07/2023	Approve the Climate-related financial disclosures	88	10	For	Not held
Other Company Resolutions						
CITY OF LONDON INVESTMENT GROUP	23/10/2023	Notice of General Meetings	61	39	For	Not held
TOPPS TILES PLC	18/01/2023	Meeting Notification-related Proposal	63	37	For	Not held
BOUYGUES SA	27/04/2023	Approve the Board to Issue Equity Warrants Free of Charge During the Period of a	74	26	Against	Against
STV GROUP PLC	27/04/2023	Approve Political Donations	70	25	For	Not held
QUILTER PLC	18/05/2023	Approve Political Donations	75	25	For	Not held
Governance & Other Shareholder Resolutions	25 /04/25	Shareholder Resolution: Simple Majority Voting	50	40	-	-
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	25/04/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Simple Majority Voting Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	49	For	For
SYNOPSYS INC	12/04/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings  Shareholder Resolution: Annual Report on Lobbying Activities	50	50	For	Not held
MCDONALD'S CORPORATION	25/05/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	49	For	For
APPLIED MATERIALS INC	09/03/2023		50	50	For	For
THE MOSAIC COMPANY	25/05/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Right to Call Special Meetings	50	49	For	For
Environmental & Socially Focussed Shareholder Resc	lutions					
STARBUCKS CORPORATION	23/03/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Assessment of Worker Rights	51	47	For	Not held
THE KROGER CO.	22/06/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Racial and Gender Pay Gaps	52	48	For	Not held
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	25/04/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Annual Report on Prevention of	52	43	For	For
TALLS TAILOO & COMITAIN	23/04/2023	Shareholder Resolution: Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Transition	52	73	101	1.01
QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INCORPORATED	17/05/2023	Plan	48	52	For	Not held
Z=== = :: :3103110311103111031110311103	1,,03,2023	Shareholder Resolution: Pay Equity Disclosure				Aut III.u
THE BOEING COMPANY	18/04/2023		47	52	For	Not held
THE BOEING CONTAINT	10/04/2023		47	JZ	101	Not neid

#### Note

Where the voting record has not been provided at the fund level, we rely on periodic information provided by investment managers to identify the stocks held. This means it is possible that some of the votes listed above may relate to companies that were not held within the Scheme's pooled funds at the date of the vote. Equally, it is possible that there are votes not included above which relate to companies that were held within the Scheme's pooled funds at the date of the vote.

## Methodology for Determining Significant Votes

The methodology used to identify significant votes for this statement uses an objective measure of significance: the extent to which a vote was contested - with the most Significant Votes being those which were most closely contested.

The Trustees believes that this is a good measure of significance because, firstly, a vote is likely to be contentious if it is finely balanced, and secondly, in voting on the Trustees behalf in a finely balanced vote, an investment manager's action will have more bearing on the outcome.

If the analysis was to rely solely on identifying closely contested votes, there is a chance many votes would be on similar topics which would not help to assess an investment manager's entire voting record. Therefore, the assessment incorporates a thematic approach; splitting votes into nine separate categories and then identifying the most closely contested votes in each of those categories.

A consequence of this approach is that the total number of Significant Votes is large. This is helpful for assessing an investment manager's voting record in detail but it presents a challenge when summarising the Significant Votes in this statement. Therefore, for practical purposes, the table on the previous page only includes summary information on each of the Significant Votes.

The Trustees have not provided the following information which DWP's guidance suggests could be included in an Implementation Statement:

- Approximate size of the Scheme's holding in the company as at the date of the vote.
- If the vote was against management, whether this intention was communicated by the investment manager to the company ahead of the vote.
- An explanation of the rationale for the voting decision, particularly where: there was a
  vote against the board; there were votes against shareholder resolutions; a vote was
  withheld; or the vote was not in line with voting policy.
- Next steps, including whether the investment manager intends to escalate stewardship efforts.

The Trustees are satisfied that the approach used ensures that the analysis covers a broad range of themes and that this increases the likelihood of identifying concerns about an investment manager's voting behaviour. The Trustees have concluded that this approach provides a more informative assessment of an investment manager's overall voting approach than would be achieved by analysing a smaller number of votes in greater detail.

# Investment Manager Voting Policies

For more information concerning an investment manager's voting policies and rationale, please visit the below links:

<u>LGIM</u> – https://vds.issgovernance.com/vds/#/MjU2NQ==/

<u>Schroders</u> - https://www.schroders.com/en-gb/uk/individual/what-we-do/sustainable-investing/active-ownership/how-we-vote/